Observation of Perfluoromethylnitrene in Cryogenic Matrixes

**Nina P. Gritsan,*,† Igor Likhotvorik,‡ Zhendong Zhu,‡ and Matthew S. Platz*,‡**

Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, 100 W. 18th Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43210, and Institute of Chemical Kinetics and Combustion, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

Photolysis (254 nm) of CF_3N_3 in pentane at 6−10 K produced a persistent electron paramagnetic resonance spectrum typical of a triplet nitrene centered at 8620 G. The spectrum ($|D/hc| = 1.736$ cm^{-1}) is attributed to triplet CF_3-N, and is very similar to that of triplet NH ($|D/hc| = 1.863$ cm^{-1}) and CH_3-N ($|D/hc| = 1.720$ cm^{-1}) which were reported previously. CF_3N_3 was also deposited in an argon matrix at 14 K. The azide ($\lambda_{max} = 257$ nm) was decomposed by exposure to 254 nm light with the concurrent formation of sharp, structured absorption bands at 342, 347.5, and 354 nm. The UV bands are assigned to the triplet nitrene CF_3N on the basis of its similarity to the spectrum of CH_3N and time-dependent density functional theory (B3LYP) calculations.

I. Introduction

Singlet methylnitrene has been predicted recently[1] to rearrange over a very small barrier (2.5 ± 1.0 kcal/mol) to form methyleneimine and has never been detected by spectroscopic methods. The theoretical predictions[1] are in excellent agreement with the photoelectron spectrum of the CH_3N anion, which indicates that the barrier to isomerization of singlet CH_3N is less than 2.6 kcal/mol.[2] Photolysis of methyl azide does not produce $^1NCH_3$ as a trappable species, nor does the singlet nitrene live long enough, even at cryogenic temperatures, to relax to the lower energy triplet state.[3] Only methyleneimine, the product of singlet CH_3N isomerization, was detected in the cryogenic matrix.[4]

Triplet methylnitrene can be produced directly by sensitized photolysis[3] in the condensed phase or in a gas-phase corona discharge.[5] Larger alkylnitrenes have been formed by intramolecular sensitization.[6]

The same issues exist in the study of simple alkylcarbenes. Although methyl carbene is predicted to have a triplet ground state,[7] it is not observed on photolysis of precursors in inert cryogenic matrixes, although it can be trapped with carbon monoxide.[8] Singlet methylcarbene-d_4 has been trapped in solution with pyridine, and its lifetime was estimated to be 100−500 ps.[9] Triplet trifluoromethylcarbene can be formed in matrixes upon direct photolysis of diazirine precursors[10] because the strong C−F bond resists rearrangement in the nascent singlet carbene.

This led us to synthesize perfluoromethyl azide and to study its photochemistry in cryogenic matrixes. Herein we are pleased to report the results of this study.

† Institute of Chemical Kinetics and Combustion.
‡ The Ohio State University.

II. Experimental Section

Synthesis. Perfluoromethyl azide was prepared as described previously.[11]

EPR Spectroscopy. A solution of CF_3N_3 in pentane was transferred into a 3-mm quartz tube and degassed by three freeze−pump−thaw cycles. The sample was cooled to 6−10 K in a flow of cold helium in a Helitran model LTR-3 liquid transfer refrigerator (APD Cryogenics) in the cavity of Bruker ESP 300 spectrometer. The sample was irradiated (254 nm) with a "pen lamp" to produce the triplet nitrene.

Spectroscopy in Argon Matrix. A gaseous mixture of CF_3N_3 and argon (1:100) was deposited directly on the surface of a CsI window of a closed-cycle cryogenic system cooled by helium (Air Products). The argon matrix formed was maintained at 14 K during the entire experiment. The UV−vis spectra were measured with a Lambda 6 UV−vis spectrophotometer. Ray-O-Net 254-nm lamps were used to photolyze the samples.

Computational Chemistry. The geometry optimizations for triplet CF_3N and CF_2NF were implemented at the B3LYP[12] and CASSCF[13] levels. The geometry optimizations for singlet CF_3N were performed at the CASSCF level. The local minima were confirmed by a Hessian calculation. Electronic absorption spectra were calculated using time-dependent density functional theory[14] at the B3LYP level. All the calculations were performed with the Gaussian 98 software package.[15]

III. Results and Discussion

III.1. EPR Spectroscopy. A solution of CF_3N_3 ($\lambda_{max} = 257$ nm) in pentane was cooled to 6−10 K and exposed to 254-nm light for 10 min. This produced an electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectrum typical of a triplet nitrene centered at 8620 G (available in the Supporting Information). The zero-field splitting parameter $|D/hc|$ was calculated to be 1.736 cm^{-1}. This value is close to that of the parent triplet NH ($|D/hc| = 1.863$ cm^{-1}).[16] Wasserman reported a smaller value ($|D/hc| = 1.595$ cm^{-1})[3] for matrix isolated triplet CH_3N. This earlier experiment can be questioned,[5b] and a revised D constant of 1.720 cm^{-1} was obtained[5c] for CH_3N using gas-phase spectroscopy. Therefore the value of the zero-field splitting parameter of CF_3N is typical of simple nitrenes, and the EPR spectrum is attributed to CF_3N in its triplet ground state.

Figure 1. Differential electronic absorption spectra detected after irradiation of CF_3N_3 in an Ar matrix at 14 K with 254-nm light of 5-min (1), 25-min (2), and 45-min (3) duration. The calculated absorption bands (TD-B3LYP/6-31*) of triplet CF_3N (positive) and CF_3N_3 (negative) are depicted as solid vertical lines. Insert: differential electronic absorption spectrum detected after 25 min of irradiation.

TABLE 1: Electronic Absorption Spectra of Triplet CF_3N ($\lambda > 170$ nm Only) and Related Species Calculated Using Time Dependent DFT Theory[14] with the Gaussian98[15] Suite of Electronic Structure Programs

species	λ (nm)	$f (\times 10^4)^a$	transition	method^b
$^3NCF_3$	343	4	$^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^3E$	A
$^3NCF_3$	339	6	$^3A_2 \rightarrow {}^3E$	B
CF_2NF	182	0	$^1A' \rightarrow {}^1A''$	A
	171	25	$^1A' \rightarrow {}^1A''$	
cis-$CF_3N{=}NCF_3$	471	1	$^1A_1 \rightarrow {}^1B_1$	A
	202	0	$^1A_1 \rightarrow {}^1A_2$	
	175	1	$^1A_1 \rightarrow {}^1A_1$	
$trans$-$CF_3N{=}NCF_3$	421	0	$^1A_g \rightarrow {}^1B_g$	A
	173	0	$^1A_g \rightarrow {}^1B_g$	
	173	23	$^1A_g \rightarrow {}^1B_u$	

^{a} Oscillator strength. ^{b} Method A, TD-B3LYP/6-31G*//B3LYP/6-31G*; method B, TD-B3LYP/6-311+G*//B3LYP/6-31G*.

III.2. UV Spectroscopy in Argon Matrix. CF_3N_3 was condensed in an argon matrix at 14 K. Upon brief photolysis (254 nm), the azide is slightly decomposed concomitant with the appearance of absorption bands at 342, 347.5, and 354 nm (Figure 1).

The electronic absorption spectrum of Figure 1 is similar to the spectrum of triplet CH_3N ($A^3E \rightarrow X^3A_2$ transition)[17] but shifted slightly (by about 37 nm) to the red. Figure 1 shows also that the experimental spectrum is in fairly good agreement with the calculated spectrum of triplet CF_3N (Table 1). Note that according to our calculations the spectrum of triplet CH_3N is indeed shifted to the blue (by about 47 nm at TD-B3LYP/6-311+G** level) relative to the spectrum of triplet CF_3N. Therefore we can unambiguously assign the experimental UV spectrum of Figure 1 to triplet CF_3N.

Two progressions with an average vibrational interval of 710 cm^{-1} were discerned in the spectrum of triplet CH_3N.[5b] These progressions were offset by 1166 cm^{-1}. In the spectrum of triplet CF_3N only one progression with an average interval of about 500 cm^{-1} can be resolved (Figure 1, insert). The shoulder at about 340 nm can be tentatively assigned to the origin of the second progression (shifted by about 1160 cm^{-1}).

Perfluromethyl azide[11] has an absorption maximum at 258 nm with a molar absorptivity (ϵ) of 17 M^{-1}cm^{-1}. To obtain sufficient absorption at 254 nm we had to prepare a matrix with a rather large concentration of azide (Ar/CF_3N_3 = 100/1). Therefore azo-compounds (cis- and $trans$-$CF_3N{=}NCF_3$)


Figure 2. Geometries (bond lengths in Å, bond angles in degrees) of $^3CF_3N$ ($^3A_2$) and perfluoromethyleneimine (**IM**) calculated at B3LYP/6-31G* level and of $^1CF_3N$ ($^1A'$) calculated at CASSCF(8,8)/6-31G* level.

can be produced by a triplet nitrene dimerization reaction or by reaction of nitrene with starting azide. The products of nitrene rearrangement ($F_2C{=}NF$) and dimerization (cis- and $trans$-$CF_3N{=}NCF_3$) are not predicted to absorb between 300 and 400 nm (Table 1) and are not responsible for the spectrum of Figure 1.

Therefore, the EPR and UV data indicate formation of triplet CF_3N under direct irradiation of CF_3N_3. This finding confirms that singlet CF_3N is indeed an energy minimum on the singlet potential energy surface.

III.3. Calculations. In C_{3V} symmetry the lowest-energy singlet state of nitrene is a degenerate ^1E state. According to the Jahn−Teller theorem it should undergo Jahn−Teller distortions, giving rise to $^1A'$ and $^1A''$ states in C_S symmetry. Figure 2 displays the CASSCF(8,8)/6-31G* optimized geometry for the lowest singlet ($^1A'$) of CF_3N. As shown in Figure 2, the $^1A'$ state has one short and two long C−F bonds similar to CH_3N.[1]

The singlet−triplet splitting of N−CF_3 calculated at the CASSCF (8,8)/6-31G* level of theory[13] is 43.7 kcal/mol. This value is larger than the experimentally determined singlet−triplet splitting of N−CH_3 (31.2 kcal/mol).[1,2] However, a CASSCF level of theory (CASSCF (12,11)/cc-pVDZ) predicts[1] that the ST splitting of N−CH_3 is 41.1 kcal/mol, a value that is too high by 10 kcal/mol.[1,2] Thus, our calculated ST gap of N−CF_3 is also likely to be too large by this amount.

Singlet CF_3N should undergo rearrangements typical of alkylnitrenes.[18] The rearrangement of ^1N−CF_3 to imine $F_2C{=}NF$ is predicted by CASSCF (8,8)/6-31G* to be exothermic by 46 kcal/mol, a value which is too high by ≈10 kcal/mol because of the error in calculating the energy of ^1N−CF_3. This is much less exothermic than the rearrangement of ^1N−CH_3 to HN$=CH_2$ (82.9 kcal/mol).[1] Unlike ^1N−CH_3, however, ISC of the perfluoromethyl singlet nitrene to ^3N−CF_3 (k_{ISC}) can compete with rearrangement (k_R) at cryogenic temperatures because of the greater strength of the C−F relative to the C−H bond, and the consequently greater barrier to isomerization.

Flash photolysis studies of CF_3N_3, matrix IR spectroscopy, and quantum chemical calculations of the potential energy surface of ^1N−CF_3 are in progress and will be reported in due course.

IV. Conclusions

EPR and UV spectroscopy unambiguously demonstrate formation of triplet CF_3N upon direct irradiation of CF_3N_3. This confirms that singlet CF_3N is indeed an energy minimum on the singlet potential energy surface.

Perfluoromethylnitrene in Cryogenic Matrixes

J. Phys. Chem. A, Vol. 105, No. 13, 2001 **3041**

Rearrangement of $^1N-CF_3$ to imine $F_2C=NF$ is predicted by CASSCF (8,8)/6-31G* to be exothermic by about 46 kcal/mol. This is much less exothermic than the rearrangement of $^1N-CH_3$ to $HN=CH_2$ (82.9 kcal/mol).[1] Unlike $^1N-CH_3$, ISC of the perfluoromethyl singlet nitrene to $^3N-CF_3$ can compete with rearrangement at cryogenic temperatures because of the greater strength of the $C-F$ bond relative to the $C-H$ bond. This is responsible for the smaller exothermicity of rearrangement of $^1N-CF_3$ relative to $^1N-CH_3$ and consequently a greater barrier to isomerization of the fluorinated nitrene.

Supporting Information Available: The EPR spectrum of triplet CF_3N in pentane (6−10 K) produced by 254 nm photolysis of CF_3N_3. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References and Notes

(1) Kemnitz, C. R.; Ellison, G. B.; Karney, W. L.; Borden, W. T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 1098.

(2) Travers, M. J.; Cowles, D. C.; Clifford, E. P.; Ellison, G. B.; Engelking, P. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *111*, 5349.

(3) (a) Barash, L.; Wasserman, E.; Jager, W. A. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1967**, *89*, 3931. (b) Wasserman, E. *Prog. Phys. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *8*, 319.

(4) Milligan, D. E. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1961**, *35*, 1491. Jaxcox, M. E.; Milligan, D. E. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **1975**, *56*, 333.

(5) (a) Carrick, P. G.; Engelking, P. C. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 1661. (b) Carrick, P. G.; Brazier, C. R.; Bernath, P. F.; Engelking, P. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, *109*, 5100. (c) Ferrante, R. F. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1987**, *86*, 25.

(6) (a) Wagner, P. J.; Scheve, B. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1979**, *101*, 378. (b) A. Gudmundsdottir, personal communication.

(7) (a) Gallo, M. M.; Schaefer, H. F. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97*, 1515. (b) Khodabandeh, S.; Carter, E. A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97*, 4360.

(8) Seburg, R. A.; McMahon, R. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 7183.

(9) Modarelli, D. A.; Platz, M. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1993**, *115*, 470.

(10) Wasserman, E.; Barash, L.; Yager, W. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 4974.

(11) Christe, K. O.; Shack, C. J. *Inorg. Chem.* **1981**, *20*, 2566.

(12) (a) Becke, A. D. *Phys. Rev. A* **1988**, *38*, 3098. (b) Becke, A. D. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1993**, *98*, 5648. (c) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. *Phys. Rev. B.* **1988**, *37*, 785. (d) Labanowski, J. W.; Andzelm, J. *Density Functional Methods in Chemistry;* Springer: New York, 1991.

(13) (a) Hegarty, D.; Robb, M. A. *Mol Phys.* **1979**, *38*, 1795. (b) Robb, M. A.; Niazi, U. *Rep. Mol. Theory* **1990**, *1*, 23. (c) Anderson, K.; Roos, B. O. In *Modern Electronic Structure Theory*; World Scientific Publishing: Singapore, 1995; Part 1, Vol. 2, p 55.

(14) (a) Gross, E. K. U.; Kohn, W. *Adv. Quantum Chem.* **1990**, *21*, 255. (b) Casida, M. E. In *Recent Advances in Density Functional Methods*; Chong, D. P., Ed.; World Scientific: Singapore, 1995; Vol. 1. (c) Wiberg, K. B.; Stratmann, R. E.; Frisch, M. J. *Chem. Phys Lett.* **1998**, *297*, 60.

(15) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Stratmann, R. E.; Burant, J. C.; Dapprich, S.; Mnillam, J. M.; Daniels, A. D.; Kudin, K. N.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Cossi, M.; Cammi, R.; Mennucci, B.; Pomelli, C.; Adamo, C.; Cliford, S.; Ochterski, J.; Petersson, G. A.; Ayala, P. Y.; Cui, Q.; Morokuma, K.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Cioslowski, J.; Ortiz, J. V.; Stafanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Goperts, R.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Gonzalez, C.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Andres, J. L.; Gonzalez, C.; Head-Gordon, M.; Replogle, E. S.; Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 98*, Revision A.6; Gaussian, Inc.; Pittsburgh, PA 1998.

(16) Wayne, F. D.; Radford, H. E. *Mol. Phys.* **1976**, *32*, 1407.

(17) Ferrante, R. F. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *94*, 4678.

(18) (a) Stolkin, T. K. H.; Gunthard, H. H. *Chem. Phys.* **1977**, *21*, 327. (b) Dunkin, I. R.; Thomson, P. C. P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 3813. (c) Radziszewski, J. G.; Downing, J. W.; Wentrup, K.; Kaszynski, P.; Jawdosiuk, M.; Kovacic, P.; Michl, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 7996. (d) Radziszewski, J. G.; Downing, J. W.; Wentrup, K.; Kaszynski, P.; Jawdosiuk, M.; Kovacic, P.; Michl, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 2799.